

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

WINNER'S SUN PLASTIC & ELECTRONIC)  
 (SHENZHEN) CO. LTD., )

Case No.: 12:19-cv-00852-GMN-EJY

Plaintiff, )

vs. )

**ORDER**

THE PARTNERSHIPS AND )  
 UNINCORPORATED ASSOCIATIONS )  
 IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE A, )

Defendants. )

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of United States Magistrate Judge Elayna Youchah, (ECF No. 61), which recommends that default judgment be entered against Defendants named in Schedule A of the Amended Complaint who have not made an appearance in this action. (*See* Mot. Default J., ECF No. 49); (Default J. Defs., Ex. 1 to Mot. Default J., ECF No. 49-2)

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114,

1 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

2 Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so, May 25, 2020, has passed.  
3 (Min. Order, ECF No. 61).

4 Accordingly,

5 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 61), is  
6 **ACCEPTED AND ADOPTED in full.**

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Court **GRANTS** default judgment against  
8 Defendants named in Schedule A of the Amended Complaint who have not made an  
9 appearance in this action. The Defendants, as listed in Exhibit 1 to Plaintiff's Motion for  
10 Default Judgment, comprise: AeequeDirect, Alfort, Batterygalaxysales, Bmzx, Brillmall,  
11 Casido, Dreamyth, Esellerchina, Hongkongstore2011, HP95, Jvlunas, Kungfurendirect,  
12 Lynnerphom, Maggiesuperstore, Motorstarshine, Serviceyingbest, Smileniu9, Subottn,  
13 Thumbsup, Wensltd, and Yopujkl1232\_8.

14 **DATED** this 27 day of May, 2020.

15  
16   
17 \_\_\_\_\_  
18 Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge  
19 United States District Court  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25